FILED

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 9

2007 SEP 25 PM 3: 3

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

In the Matter of:

Allfast Fastening Systems, Inc. 15200 Don Julian Road City of Industry, CA 91745

Respondent

Docket No. EPCRA-9-2007RE OCC 2 FEARING CLERI

CONSENT AGREEMENT AND FINAL

ORDER PURSUANT TO 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.13 AND 22.18

I. CONSENT AGREEMENT

. The Director of the Communities and Ecosystems Division ("Complainant"), United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") Region 9, and Allfast Fastening Systems, Inc. ("Respondent" or "Allfast") agree to settle this matter and consent to the filing of this Consent Agreement and Final Order Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.13 and 22.18 ("CAFO"), which simultaneously commences and concludes this matter in accordance with 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.13(b) and 22.18(b).

- This is a civil administrative proceeding initiated pursuant to Section 325(c) of Title III of the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act, 42 U.S.C. § 11001 et seq., also known as the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act of 1986 ("EPCRA"), for violations of Section 313 of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11023, and the regulations promulgated to implement Section 313 at 40 C.F.R. Part 372.
- Complainant has been duly delegated the authority to file this action and sign a consent agreement settling this action. Respondent is a California corporation located at 15200 Don Julian Road in the City of Industry, California 91745-1098.

1 4. Pursuant to Sections 313 and 328 of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 11023 and 11048, EPA promulgated the Toxic Chemical Release Reporting: Community Right-to-Know Rule at 40 C.F.R. Part 372.

Section 313(a) of EPCRA, as implemented by 40 C.F.R. § 372.30, provides that an owner or operator of a facility that meets the criteria set forth in EPCRA Section 313(b) and 40 C.F.R. § 372.22, is required to submit annually to the Administrator of EPA and to the State in which the facility is located, no later than July 1st of each year, a toxic chemical release inventory reporting form (hereinafter "Form R") for each toxic chemical listed under 40 C.F.R. § 372.65 that was manufactured, processed or otherwise used at the facility during the preceding calendar year in quantities exceeding the thresholds established under EPCRA Section 313(f) and 40 C.F.R. §§ 372.25, 372.27 and 372.28.

Section 313(b) of EPCRA and 40 C.F.R. § 372.22 provide that the requirements of Section 313(a) and 40 C.F.R. § 372.30 apply to an owner and operator of a facility that has 10 or more full-time employees; that is in a Standard Industrial Classification major group codes 10 (except 1011, 1081, and 1094), 12 (except 1241), 20 through 39; industry codes 4911, 4931, or 4939 (limited to facilities that combust coal and/or oil for the purpose of generating power for distribution in commerce), or 4953 (limited to facilities regulated under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, subtitle C, 42 U.S.C.

26 27

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

17 6.

§6921 et seq.), or 5169, 5171, or 7389 (limited to facilities primarily engaged in solvent recovery services on a contract or fee basis); and that manufactures, processes, or otherwise uses one or more toxic chemicals listed under Section 313(c) of EPCRA and 40 C.F.R. § 372.65 in quantities in excess of the applicable thresholds established under EPCRA Section 313(f) and 40 C.F.R. §§ 372.25, 372.27 and 372.28.

- Part 19 authorize EPA to assess a penalty of up to \$27,500 for each violation of Section 313 of EPCRA that occurred on or after January 31, 1997 but before March 15, 2004 and up to \$32,500 for each violation of Section 313 of EPCRA that occurred on or after March 15, 2004.
- 14 8. Respondent is a "person," as that term is defined by Section 329(7) of EPCRA.
 - 9. At all times relevant to this CAFO, Respondent was the owner and operator of a "facility," as that term is defined by Section 329(4) of EPCRA and 40 C.F.R. § 372.3, located at 15200 Don Julian Road in the City of Industry, California 91745-1098 ("Facility"); the Facility had 10 or more "full-time employees," as that term is defined at 40 C.F.R. § 372.3; and the Facility was classified in Standard Industrial Classification Code 3452 (bolt nut, screw, rivet and washer manufacturing) and 3471 (electroplating, plating, polishing, anodizing and coloring).

10. During calendar year 2003, Respondent processed or otherwise

used the following approximate amounts (in pounds) of the following chemicals listed under 40 C.F.R § 372.65:

Processed

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Year	Copper	Nickel
2002	31,931	40,908
2003	32,747	48,615

Otherwise Used

Year	Nitric	Acid
2002	13,725	
2003	16,012	

- 11. The quantities of copper and nickel that Respondent processed at the Facility during calendar years 2002 and 2003 exceed the established threshold of 25,000 pounds set forth at 40 C.F.R. § 372.25(a), and the quantity of nitric acid otherwise used at the Facility during calendar years 2002 and 2003 exceed the established threshold of 10,000 pounds set forth at 40 C.F.R. § 372.25(b).
- 16 12. Respondent failed to submit Form Rs to the EPA Administrator and to the State of California on or before July 1, 2003 for calendar year 2002 and on or before July 1, 2004 for calendar year 2003, as follows: for copper and nickel processed at the Facility and for nitric acid otherwise used at the Facility, as required by Section 313(a) of EPCRA and 40 C.F.R. § 372.30.
 - 13. Respondent's failure to submit Form Rs for copper, nickel and nitric acid on or before July 1, 2003 for calendar year 2002 and on or before July 1, 2004 for calendar year 2003 constitutes six (6) violations of Section 313 of EPCRA and 40

27

C.F.R. § 372.30.

- 14. The EPA Enforcement Response Policy for EPCRA Section 313 dated August 10, 1992 provides for a penalty of one hundred twenty-one thousand nine hundred dollars (\$121,900) for these violations.
- 15. In executing this CAFO, Respondent certifies that (1) it has now fully completed and submitted to EPA all of the required Form Rs in compliance with Section 313 of EPCRA and the regulations promulgated to implement Section 313; and (2) it has complied with all other EPCRA requirements at all facilities under its control.
- 16. In accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 22.18(b)(2) and for the purpose of this proceeding only, Respondent (i) admits that EPA has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this CAFO and over Respondent; (ii) admits the violations and facts alleged in this CAFO; (iii) consents to the terms of this CAFO; (iv) waives any right to contest the allegations in this CAFO; and (v) waives the right to appeal the proposed final order contained in this CAFO.
- 17. The terms of this CAFO constitute a full settlement of the civil administrative matter filed under the docket number above.
- 18. EPA's final policy statement on <u>Incentives for Self-Policing:</u>

 <u>Discovery, Disclosure, Correction and Prevention of</u>

 <u>Violations</u>, 65 Fed. Reg. 19617 (April 11, 2000) ("Audit Policy") has several important goals, including encouraging

greater compliance with the laws and regulations which protect human health and the environment and reducing transaction costs associated with violations of the laws EPA is charged with administering. If certain specified criteria are met, reductions in gravity-based penalties of up to 100% are available under the Audit Policy. These criteria are (1) discovery of the violation(s) through an environmental audit or due diligence; (2) voluntary disclosure; (3) prompt disclosure; (4) discovery and disclosure independent of government or third party plaintiff; (5) correction and remediation; (6) prevent recurrence; (7) no repeat violations; (8) other violations excluded; and (9) cooperation.

- 19. Complainant has determined that Respondent has satisfied all of the criteria under the Audit Policy and thus qualifies for the elimination of civil penalties in this matter.

 Accordingly, the civil penalty assessed in this matter is zero (\$0) dollars.
- 20. Complainant's finding that Allfast has satisfied the criteria of the Audit Policy is based upon documentation that Allfast has provided to establish that it satisfies these criteria. Complainant and Respondent agree that, should any material fact upon which Complainant relied in making its finding subsequently prove to be other than as represented by Allfast, this CAFO may be voided in whole or in part.

26 21. Nothing in this CAFO modifies, affects, exempts or relieves

Respondent's duty to comply with all applicable provisions of EPCRA and other federal, state or local laws and permits. accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 22.18(c), this CAFO only resolves Respondent's liability for federal civil penalties for the violations and facts specifically alleged in this CAFO. Nothing in this CAFO is intended to or shall be construed to resolve (i) any civil liability for violations of any provision of any federal, state, or local law, statute, regulation, rule, ordinance, or permit not specifically alleged in this CAFO; or (ii) any criminal liability. EPA specifically reserves any and all authorities, rights, and remedies available to it (including, but not limited to, injunctive or other equitable relief or criminal sanctions) to address any violation of this CAFO or any violation not specifically alleged in this CAFO.

- 16 22. In accordance with 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.18(b)(3) and 22.31(b), this CAFO shall be effective on the date that the final order contained in this CAFO, having been approved and issued by either the Regional Judicial Officer or Regional Administrator, is filed.
 - 23. The provisions of this CAFO shall be binding upon Respondent, its agents, successors or assigns. Respondent's obligations under this Consent Agreement, if any, shall end when Respondent has performed all of the terms of the Consent Agreement in accordance with the Final Order. Complainant and Respondent consent to the entry of the CAFO without

27

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

further notice. FOR RESPONDENT: Vice President, Manufacturing Allfast Fastening Systems, Inc. FOR COMPLAINANT: -20-2017 Jeff Scott, Acting Director Communities and Ecosystems Division EPA Region 9

II. FINAL ORDER

Complainant EPA Region 9 and Respondent Allfast Fastening 3 Systems, Inc., having entered into the foregoing Consent 4 Agreement,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this Consent Agreement and Final 6 Order Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.13 and 22.18 (Docket No. EPCRA-9-2007-0025) be entered.

Steven L. Jawgiel Regional Judicial Officer U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9

I certify that a copy of the original of the foregoing Consent Agreement and Final Order Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.13 and 22.18, Docket No. EPCRA-9-2007- , was placed in the United States Mail, certified mail, return receipt requested, addressed to the following address:

Vice President, Manufacturing

Allfast Fastening Systems, Inc.

15200 Don Julian Road

Alan Reoch

City of Industry, CA 91745

Certified Return Receipt No. 7007 0710 0003 6239 8199

Date: 9 /24/2007

Damielle Carr

Regional Hearing Clerk

United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9

75 Hawthorne Avenue

San Francisco, California 94105-3143